# The Aborted American Lifestyle

There are technical distinctions with moral implications which can be made between abortion, contraception and so-called *natural family planning*, but all three aim at the same lifestyle, a lifestyle which is built upon the principle of *MORE MONEY, FEWER KIDS*. The higher you aspire in worldly terms, the tougher times get, the more the Christian community disintegrates, the more that principle becomes the **IRON LAW** of the modern American life style. **NOT HAVING BABIES** is the foundation of that luxurious life style which is now an American Necessity. It is a necessity for the prosperous hanging on to the precarious position they have reached on the steep and slippery slope of American prosperity. It is a necessity for those trapped at the bottom who are paid a **SERVANT WAGE** which precludes having kids for all except the most reckless.

The prosperous must rely upon contraception and abortion in order to pass their prosperous life style on to their children. Her college scholarship is out the window if she does not get rid of the baby. Either her baby goes into the trash or her career goes into the trash. The poor are forced into abortion by an ANTI FAMILY ECONOMY in which your own survival on a subsistence wage demands the sacrifice of your children. Her husband has been deported back to Mexico and her uncle will put her out of his tiny house, if she does not get rid of the baby.

The logic of contraception is fornication. If CARELESS LOVE is necessary for married couples, it is even more necessary for unmarried couples. And their parents have set them the example. After looking in their parents' bedroom, they know what brand to buy. And their parents have brought them up in that luxurious lifestyle which mandates CAREERS FOR WOMEN because it requires two incomes. It means a woman must wait until she is 35 to have her one and only child. So, from 15 to 35 she must remain a virgin. Or turn to Planned Parenthood for help. What is the realistic choice?

Is it surprising that sailors patronize prostitutes, that soldiers rape captive women, or that prisoners become involved in homosexual relationships? When you separate young men at the lustiest time of their lives from any possibility of having a wife or girl friend and then send them halfway around the world to where they may get killed or crippled the next day, it is entirely predictable that desperate desires will get hold of them. Neither is it surprising that Nature escapes from the un natural constraints imposed by the pursuit of the luxurious lifestyle.

Young men and women, facing 20 years of enforced celibacy, end up throwing off all restraints. Sex is the only adventure allowed them. The URGE TO PARTY is a natural rebellion against the sterility and deadly boredom imposed upon them as the price for securing a place in the bureaucracy where they will spend the rest of their lives. The frantic bacchanal is a temporary escape from an unnatural bondage which closes off any good alternative for finding the love they need and establishing a home of their own. Like the slaves and servants and laborers of the 19th century, they are effectively prohibited from getting married and having children. Lady Bellamy did not allow her servants to marry. Dame Affluence does not permit it either.

## preaching chastity to teens

is stupid when we also teach them to aim for Law School. She will be 18 by the time she finishes high school, 22 when she finishes College, 25 when she finishes law school, 30 by the time she establishes herself in the legal profession. Can she then give it up, throw away a \$ 300,000

investment in her education, in order to stay home and raise children? Obviously she has to keep on working. She has to pay back all that borrowed money, which could have bought a farm or a small business. We have a society in which 12 year old girls are already dedicated to the pursuit of males and in which 32 year old girls are still not allowed to have babies. It is a sure fire recipe for contraception and abortion. It is silly to expect anything else. It is silly to preach CHASTITY while you raise your children in a life style which has no place for it.

Is she going to wait until she is in her thirties to have a boy friend? Wait until she is 35 to have the one baby she is allowed, which she must then put in day care? Is she going to remain a virgin until she is 35? If she doesn't, she must use contraception backed by abortion. If she gets pregnant during those 20 YEARS OF STERILITY FOR THE SAKE OF MONEY there is only one answer.

## investing your daughter

In an *investment* minded society, abortion is the best investment there is. Counting the \$ 200,000 it cost to raise her, the \$ 300,000 it cost to educate her, and the \$ 50,000 a year she can make in her career, a \$ 500 abortion yields a million dollars in money saved and money earned.

People may not consciously make that kind of a calculation, but they understand it quite as well as if they did. The Saint Mary's girl we met going into Planned Parenthood told us that her friend had a scholarship to college and had no choice except to get rid of the baby. And, realistically, what choice did she have? The American Dream--get your kid into law school--leaves only one choice. CAREERS FOR WOMEN and ABORTION go together like ham and eggs.

Fifty years ago you could tell a 16 year old girl to wait. Don't spoil it for yourself. When you are 18 you can get married and start having babies. And, if she couldn't wait, getting married at 16 wasn't the end of the world. Today, if she gets pregnant and refuses to get rid of the baby, she is bound for the welfare rolls. For a short while anyway. Thanks to the de facto **WELFARE REFORM** alliance between the Radical Feminists and the Conservatives, she will soon be forced to go to work at a minimum wage job while they subsidize food, housing, child care etc. They don't care how much the subsidies cost just so the main objective is achieved: **do not allow women to stay home with their children.** 

For a while the American welfare state provided some minimal support for lower class families. There is no realistic alternative so long as lower class men have no access to jobs which pay a FAMILY WAGE. (Unless they get into the rackets--the FREE ENTERPRISE system of the slums.) The alternative is to give up on having children. You can't afford it. Since women of the affluent class are not allowed to have children, why should they subsidize these lower class women who insist upon doing it? The current American solution is to PUSH CAREERS FOR ALL WOMEN. All women, even those of the lowest class must seek entry to law school, medical school or business school. It is the American way. It is the American way and the feminist way to have someone else raise your children while you work for wages. No amateur child-raising allowed. Eight dollar an hour professionals, hired off the street, will raise your children while you pursue your wonderful career. Amateur child-raising, by someone whose main qualification is that she loves the baby, is obsolete.

# Bring back the shotgun wedding

Some years ago, a 16 year old gentleman of my acquaintance, who lived in a small town, got his girl friend pregnant. There was only one answer: they had to get married. His parents agreed and her parents agreed. What surprised me was the response of his male buddies, who were also her friends. They planned to beat him up after they heard about her being pregnant. He squared it with them by assuring them that he intended to do the right thing by the young lady and that they were all invited to the wedding. And it worked out. They got married and raised several more children. A basic reason for the precocious passion was that they really did like each other.

Which illustrates the old code which said that, if you were old enough to have sex, you were old enough to take responsibility for the result. It had the effect of teaching people to be responsible. When you are about to be hung or about to be married, it concentrates your attention. Seeing your buddy married at 16 made you think. It wasn't such a bad thing. Now they don't have to think. If it feels good, do it! Now American society perpetuates adolescence and encourages irresponsibility.

But 50 years ago it was possible to support a family on one income. It wasn't that hard to find a *FAMILY WAGE*--an income which would allow a man to support a wife and kids by working a 40 hour week. Today's young men are encouraged to be as responsible as a baby's rear end. If you allow your 16 year old to take the car, even though the insurance does not cover him, and he runs into someone else's car, you and he may both end up in jail. But if you allow him the sexual freedom to ruin some young girl's life, there is no penalty.

In fact, your 16 year old might very well point out that he is effectively precluded from finding a job which would allow him to support a family in today's economy. Why should he take responsibility for a baby when he has no parental rights? It is Her Choice whether the baby lives or dies. There is a PHONY PRO CHOICE MALE who keeps saying IT'S HER CHOICE! usually while leaning out the car window and giving the finger to the sidewalk counselors in front of the abortuary. What he means of course is that It is her problem, not mine! Not even Ted Kennedy and Bill Clinton can afford to be really pro choice: Well it is your choice! If you want to have an abortion, send me a bill for \$ 400 (or use this Kennedy Family Coupon.) Or, if you want to keep the baby, and raise him, send me a bill for \$ 400,000. It is all the same to me.

### The Luxurious Life Style

American women now have every luxury except the luxury of staying home with their children. That is the one thing they cannot afford. In fact the economy is rigged against it. People who might once have had to count their pennies to buy necessities now engage in the national past time of recreational shopping. They buy new cars, they dine in restaurants. But babies are a luxury they can't afford. What was once a necessity has now become a luxury. While all the things that used to be regarded as luxuries are now necessities. The one begets the other.

The modern American life style is a SECOND PAY CHECK LIFE STYLE. It is tied to CAREERS FOR WOMEN. Putting the women to work doubles family income. That is its first effect. Its second effect is to cut wages in half, because you have doubled the labor supply. That is why it now takes two wage earners to make the equivalent of what one could make 50 years ago. The third effect is to eliminate the family, because women permanently in the work force have no time or energy left to raise children. The more women work, the more they have to work. Now it takes two pay checks to buy a house. So both have to work. Before 1970 only the man's income was counted when banks wrote mortgages. The assumption was that the woman would soon quit work to stay home with the kids. When the feminists and their business allies forced a change in policy, the effect was to double and triple the price of a house every where in America. Since you can now afford a house which costs twice as much, we will double the price of the house you want to buy. In the 1990s the price of a house has tripled again. Has your income tripled in the past 10 years? Has the demand for houses tripled?

A major part of the inflation comes from two career childless couples with money to invest. So they buy an extra house for an investment. The result is that the one income family winds up paying rent to the childless couple which owns the house they live in. The whole of American society is committed to INVESTING, that is to USURY, making money off money--reaping where you did not sow, and taking up what you did not lay down. (Luke 19.21-32)

#### sexual freedom

SEXUAL FREEDOM has become the most ABSOLUTE of American Freedoms. The homosexual who spreads AIDS to hundreds of other men cannot be held liable, even though he effectively sentences them to death. It would violate his *privacy* even to publicly acknowledge it. Why do homosexual groups support abortion? What does it have to do with them? The answer is that they are committed to ABSOLUTE SEXUAL FREEDOM, even if someone else must die to pay for it. ABSOLUTE SEXUAL FREEDOM means that we lay down THEIR lives for OUR Liberty.

President Clinton could not be impeached for crimes of perjury and obstruction of justice connected with the exercise of his sexual FREEDOM. America Stands For Freedom! The failure to define FREEDOM in America--what could men who owned slaves really mean by it ?--has reached its full flowering in our time: Freedom to throw embryo children in the trash. Freedom to spread AIDS. Freedom to use others without obligation = Careless Love. The founding fathers had the FREEDOM to make their fortunes by taking land from the Indians to establish tobacco plantations worked by African slaves. That was the FREE ENTERPRISE system in colonial America. They then complained about restrictions on trade passed by the British Parliament. GIVE ME LIBERTY (AND SLAVERY) OR GIVE ME DEATH! FREEDOM meant conscripting men into the army and jailing those who refused. It meant turning the bully boy SONS OF LIBERTY loose on those who refused to take the oath of loyalty to the new rebel government.

## America's Contraceptive Mission

Now that American women have been liberated, America has a mission to *LIBERATE* Moslem women: *SHOW SOME SKIN AND START USING BIRTH CONTROL*! On the one hand you have a society which makes women veil their faces. On the other hand you have a society in which women have 1.5 million abortions every year. Which spends \$ 2 billion a year on hard core pornography in addition to being blessed with a population of free and easy females. Which society has the worst problem? Which one needs the *VALUES* of the other? Since women are not allowed to have a baby until they turn 35, we should encourage them to wear the *burka* until that age. Instead they are encouraged to put on high heels and low cut dresses and start vamping at age 12. They spend the next 20 years romping on the beach in *thong* bikinis over spring break, smoking pot and drinking Zima. Is it surprising that we have epidemics of venereal disease and abortion? The *thong* bikini shows how degraded this loveless sexuality has become. People have become so desensitized that total nudity inspires a yawn.

Are these the *AMERICAN VALUES* we need to impose upon Afghanistan and the rest of the Moslem world? Mr. Bush's feminist allies believe it and he knows better than to disagree. Catching up with *the women's vote*--which means the ABORTED WOMEN's vote--was a major political goal of the Afghan campaign and shaped the way it was fought. Eleanor Smeal, the president of the Feminist Majority, said that her organization *absolutely agreed with the administration's campaign*. Why else was it necessary to bomb the police station in Kandahar? Mr. Bush does not comprehend why the Moslems reject the FREEDOM for which AMERICA stands or why they resist having it imposed upon them. In the eyes of devout Moslems, American women are whores and our children are bastards--the few that are lucky enough to escape abortion. The Moslem standard of sexual morality is the same one that Christians and Jews once upheld. Whatever is wrong with the extreme the Moslems have arrived at, it hardly compares with the extreme found in America and Europe and Israel: the systematic and relentless destruction of family life. America is the bane of the moral values which are necessary to preserve the family. Mr. Bush's daughters need to learn some MOSLEM VALUES.

The EMANCIPATION of the women of Afghanistan actually began back in 1978 when a Communist government was installed in Kabul with the backing of the Soviet Union. When they refer to the freedom of Afghan women before the Taliban that is what they mean. The EMANCIPATION OF WOMEN through contraception, abortion, divorce, and letting them have careers in the factories and the coal mines was a basic part of the ideology of the Russian Revolution. The emancipating government that Russia imposed upon Afghanistan was finally ousted by the rebellion which the American C.I.A. financed. Then the war lords, who had killed 30,000 people in their battle for Kabul, were beaten by the Taliban who had received 70 % of the C.I.A. weapons and supplies.

Now Women's Liberation--the attack on Moslem tradition--is there again under U.S. and U.N. sponsorship. Oddly enough, this **WOMEN'S LIBERATION** ideology, from two rival sources, is originally from the same source--the radical feminist ideology which was an integral part of 19th century socialism and communism. Whatever women's liberation is supposed to mean, it is unlikely to come about in a country over run with armies and carpeted with land mines. The new government is a coalition of the most murderous thugs in Afghanistan, temporarily bribed into a semblance of cooperation by enormous pay offs from America. Every kid has an AK 47, supplied by the C.I.A, which supplied Russian rifles to conceal the source.

## liberated from children

The radical feminists of the 1960s consciously aimed at a society in which all women would be LIBERATED from home-making and child-raising so that they could have CAREERS. That was the public face of a group which had kept alive a secretive faith in the original ABOLISH THE FAMILY ideology of radical feminism. Careers for women and raising all children in government funded child care centers were essential parts of an anti Christian religion which can be traced back through 19th century socialism and the French Revolution to the secret faith of the late 18th century Freemasons in France and America. Their mystique of a new world order is modeled upon Plato's Republic, from which sexual morality and the family have been banished. But the radical feminists were a minority of a minority. They could never have carried out this program without the support of Secularized Christians who had long since abandoned everything that Jesus taught about money. The materialism of the American Dream and the false faith in America pushes people to pursue a paradise on earth which is defined by the mandate to have MORE MONEY, FEWER CHILDREN.

The false faith in My Career is a secularized version of the true Christian faith in my vocation. It is a moneyed-up version of it which has lost moral integrity and spiritual purpose--which has been endowed with a pseudo purpose based upon feminist fantasies: Find a Glorious Destiny in the Safety of the Bureaucracy--Great Adventure and Money in the Bank. You can have it all and not miss a meal. By the time they find out they have been suckered, they are too old to have children and hurting in every way. So, having sterilized themselves via contraception, abortion, and putting off child-bearing, they pay out large sums of money for fertility treatments or try to find a child to adopt in a third world country. Meanwhile, a million and a half American babies are dismembered and thrown in the trash every year. The sheer criminal insanity of LIBERATED contemporary culture and the blind folly of LIBERATED so-called women is thereby grotesquely illustrated. (They have really been turned into anti-women by the feminist virus which destroyed them.)

The mandate of Careers for Women teaches them to put Security and Money First. But what is Secured after your Family is Lost? What can Money ever buy which will replace that Missing Family? In a de facto way, the ancient feminist mandate to Abolish the Family has been more than halfway accomplished in America even while they concealed their real aim under the Title of *CAREERS FOR WOMEN*. It is the ancient mandate of those who seek to stop others from having families because they have been deprived of their chance and because Misery Loves Company. It is the strange fact that, in the Land of Opportunity, the opportunity to ever have a family has all but disappeared, even for the most privileged class of Americans.

The news media and the film industry carry on a relentless campaign of propaganda on behalf of women having careers. A woman with several children is never featured unless she has just murdered them. Women who stay home and raise children are treated with a disdain that borders on contempt. Meanwhile, any woman anywhere who is doing something that only men used to do is given star billing. The female golfer who flopped in her attempt to make it on the men's circuit is nonetheless a heroine to the feminists and their friends in the media. The inept attempt to gain glory by hitting that little white ball in company with the men represents the noblest tradition of feminist aspiration. They will love her forever and publicize her forever unless she decides to get married and have kids.

Whenever there is a crime scene or a fire, the TV camera rushes past a dozen policemen or firemen to interview any female police officer or fire fighter at the scene. The TV cameras pursue females in the military with the dedication of those who chase movie stars. They relentlessly sell the doctrine that *A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE MILITARY*. The militant feminists in the media--male and female--are so hungry for propaganda illustrations of the **Female Warrior** that they simply fabricated the story about Private Jessica Lynch shooting it out with the Enemy. Then they ran it as *News*! And still haven't admitted that they made it up! You would never guess that women in combat is still against the law. Women conscripted into combat has long been the dearest dream of the feminists. They wouldn't back off the issue even though it caused the defeat of the Equal Rights Amendment in 1982. Now they proceed as if it had passed.

Network rules require all television dramas to have at least one episode of a man being beaten up by a woman. In TV sit coms, a father who attempts to assert his authority is invariably played for laughs. What a fool! There was a time when movies like *Life with Father* or *I Remember Mama* honored and celebrated the Wonderful Father and Mother. In the movie, *Meet Me in Saint Louis*, the mother admonishes the little girl: *Don't use that insolent tone to your father!* Now a child actor who hasn't mastered *that insolent tone* will never get a part. Now our heroine is a lesbian lawyer who wins a marathon and punches out a dozen guys on her way to climbing Mount Everest--or some such. The only challenge modern women can't handle is raising children. If they do, they are banned from the media for life.

#### JOBS versus CAREERS versus VOCATIONS

Aside from the money, a major reason people have bought into the idea of careers for women is that it is a secular substitute for the old Christian ideal of a vocation. It is pushed, not just by the predictable disaster of modern marriages, but by the emptiness and boredom of the materialistic life style in which Americans are stuck, if they somehow escape the poverty at the bottom. The loss of community and spiritual purpose pushes people to seek the alternative in that false faith which *CAREERS* offer. They are CALLED TO' CAREERS. The ideal of a vocation or *CALLING* is implicit in the idea of a *career* that appeared in the older wing of the women's liberation movement. Betty Friedan, the apostle of careers for women, insisted that women must be unhappy when they are limited to home-making and child-raising. In a career they would find the missing self-fulfillment. But she obviously means much more than is realistically meant by a *career*. She isn't just talking about a long-hours job that pays well and gets you a carpet on the floor and a name plate on the door.

Betty Friedan was the first President of the National Organization of Women beginning in 1966. They picked her for President because she provided a halfway normal front image for the organization--she actually had two kids and a husband, although they were divorced. Most of the others were lesbians.

In her book, *THE FEMININE MYSTIQUE*, she bewails the lot of a woman stuck at home with her kids and losing her chance to be a *poet*, a *scientist* or a *statesman*. Would you rather do dishes or read your poetry at the White House Inaugural? Change dirty diapers or accept the Nobel prize for discovering a cure for cancer? Drive the kids to school or meet with the world's elite at a summit conference? Clearly, Mrs. Friedan had in mind, not what the **Help Wanted** ads really have to offer, but the sort of exalted destiny that only the few achieve, the grand role she saw herself as playing by leading the women's liberation movement.

Life is boring for many people, although it is hard to see that it is worse for a housewife than for a man who works at the same job for 40 years to support his family. And creating a real home is a challenge that goes far beyond keeping a house. But *CAREERS* is an illusion which hides the reality of the available jobs. Can we all be movie stars or prominent politicians or military leaders? Such people have *CAREERS*. The rest of us have jobs. A career has come to be another word for putting in 12 hour days and never seeing your family, if you have one. Increasingly, people don't. Is it really so creative to shuffle files in some office instead of watching your children grow up and maybe having a hand in how they do it? What people really need is *VOCATIONS*—the Call from God which gives real Purpose to life. The worst thing about the disappearance of the Christian community is that it takes away the chance to find our true vocations. *CAREERS* negate *VOCATIONS*, just like SECULAR CHRISTIANITY negates christianity.

There are basic disconnects in the way American children are raised. At age 3 they are given paper and crayons and encouraged to dream about what great thing they are going to do with their lives. Do you wish to become an artist or a writer? Are you the new Beethoven? Or would you rather have a career in the hide factory? Our little girls are encouraged to see themselves as princesses and ballerinas. Later they are sold the proposition that they must become doctors, lawyers or business persons. We can all be high salaried professionals and let the machines and the foreigners do the dirty work. Reality finally sets in when they are **DOWNSIZED** and **LAID OFF** and stand in line to get a subsistence wage job.

But we are responsible for the economy that we have. Add up how much money you spent in restaurants last year. Then add up how much money you spent on poetry by new and unknown poets. Multiply those figures by what your friends spent and you see why there are so many waitress and bus boy jobs and why so few people can survive writing poetry or playing music--why all of our poets and musicians have to work in restaurants.

Terry Sullivan

originally published as pages 24 to 29 of The Roots of Abortion c 2003